

February 4, 1975

SENATOR DUIS: Mr. President, I do believe that the motion should include the rule and section that is to be suspended, rather than suspending all the rules of the Legislature at this particular time, Senator Schmit.

PRESIDENT: Could you write your . . .

SENATOR SCHMIT: (mic inactivated) . . . required. OK fine. The Clerk said he will take care of it Senator Duis. Thank you.

PRESIDENT: Chair thanks Senator Duis for his very helpful procedure.

CLERK: Mr. President, Senator Schmit's motion would read, the suspension of Rule 3, Section 5, dealing with the five day time limit.

PRESIDENT: The question is, shall Section 5 of Rule 3 be suspended. Chair recognizes Senator Simpson.

SENATOR SIMPSON: Mr. President, I would like a question of Senator Schmit.

PRESIDENT: Relative to the motion to reconsider?

SENATOR SIMPSON: Yes.

PRESIDENT: Senator Schmit.

SENATOR SIMPSON: I was wondering if he was intending to set this ahead and how far. What day was he intending to set the hearing?

SENATOR SCHMIT: The bill had not been set for hearing, Senator Simpson. We are asking that the Rules be suspended that requires notice of five days prior to the hearing, so that we can hold the hearing on Friday of this week.

PRESIDENT: Are you ready for the question? Record your vote. Have you voted? Record, Mr. Clerk.

CLERK: 35 ayes, Mr. President, on motion to suspend the Rules. Now Mr. President, notice of committee hearing. The Committee on Agriculture and Environment gives notice that LB 434 will be set for Friday, February 7, 1975 in the East Senate Lounge at 2:00 P.M. Signed, Senator Schmit, Chairman.

Yes, Mr. President, I now have Senator Savage's motion to amend on LB 8.

PRESIDENT: We're back on Select File now. Chair recognizes Senator Savage.

SENATOR SAVAGE: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, a copy of this amendment is on each Senators desk. It deals with the provision of state law, pertaining to mileage allowance for state employees. There's another bill, LB 27, that does similar to that and we want to have the language consistent. It's to ensure that the language's consistent in both bills. That's the purpose of this amendment, which is on page 2, line 10, strike "efficient" and show as stricken